

Role of Thalamus in Recovery of Traumatic Brain Injury

Ashok Munivenkatappa, Amit Agrawal¹

VRDLN Project, National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, ¹Department of Neurosurgery, Narayna Medical College Hospital, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Degree of recovery after traumatic brain injury is highly variable that lasts for many weeks to months. The evidence of brain structures involved in recovery mechanisms is limited. This review highlights evidence of the brain structure particularly thalamus in neuroplasticity mechanism. Thalamus with its complex global networking has potential role in refining the cortical and other brain structures. Thalamic nuclei activation both naturally or by neurorehabilitation in injured brain can enhance and facilitate the improvement of posttraumatic symptoms. This review provides evidence from literature that thalamus plays a key role in recovery mechanism after injury. The study also emphasize that thalamus should be specifically targeted in neurorehabilitation following brain injury.

KEYWORDS: Anisotropy, neuroplasticity, posttraumatic symptoms, recovery, thalamus, traumatic brain injury

INTRODUCTION

Neuroplasticity following traumatic brain injury

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a critical public and medical health problem, which causes morbidity and mortality, especially among productive age group. Although TBI is commonly used all over, no two brain injuries are same in any aspect. TBI is heterogeneous in manifestation that may be due to mechanism of injury, force by which patient's brain was hit, environmental factors, and patient factors (age, genetic, etc). Posttraumatic symptoms can be compared to spectrum of symptoms that affect daily activities of life. As such, the degree of recovery is highly variable, with some individuals regaining complete or near complete functions while others are disabled. There is uncertainty in recovery mechanism following brain injury.^[1-4] In any severity of brain injury, there is substantial spontaneous recovery that occurs over weeks to months after injury. The human brain is capable of widespread structural plasticity leading to restoration of function. There is experimentation that has demonstrated many anatomical and physiological examples of neuroplasticity.^[3-6] During this neuroplasticity phase, few brain parts play a potential role in enhancing and facilitating recovery process.^[3] Among them, thalamus, a subcortical brain structure with its global network connections plays a key role.^[7,8]

METHODS AND DISCUSSION

Thalamic connections and its functions in neuroplasticity following traumatic brain injury

The thalamus is a deep-seated subcortical structure, that is, situated in the forebrain above the midbrain. The thalamus nuclei play an important role in coordinating and maintaining consciousness in human beings.^[9-11] A positron emission

tomographic study on vegetative state, TBI patients showed that there was a significant difference in modulation between thalamic nuclei, prefrontal, and anterior cingulate cortices as compared with healthy controls. After recovery, the thalamocortical modulation was same as controls. The study supports that thalamocortical connections are associated in the maintenance of consciousness.^[9] Thalamic nuclei receive ascending fibers from brain stem/basal forebrain, that is, arousal system that regulates cortical activities. The same thalamic neurons are innervated from descending fibers from cortices. Collectively, the nuclei of thalamus appear to modulate the level of arousal and alertness that is important to carry out cognitive task and maintain wakeful state.^[11-13]

The thalamus with its complex global network connections has anatomical and physiological specialization that supports its key role in consciousness,^[9] arousal,^[11] cognition,^[14] behavior,^[15] working memory,^[16] executive function,^[11] motor control,^[17] sustained, and vigilant attention.^[18] The recent microcircuit proposes that central thalamic neurons inhibit GABAergic pallidal neurons which in turn have tonic inhibition on central thalamic neurons, therefore, creating positive feedback loop. The thalamocortical and thalamostriatal show progressive deafferentation in proportion to severity of structural brain injuries, causing disinhibition of GABAergic pallidal neurons which in turn cause persistent tonic inhibition of central thalamic nuclei as a whole the thalamic network connection decreases.^[10,19,20] The concept of central thalamus

Address for correspondence: Dr. Ashok Munivenkatappa, National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: ashokmphdns@gmail.com

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Munivenkatappa A, Agrawal A. Role of thalamus in recovery of traumatic brain injury. J Neurosci Rural Pract 2016;7:576-9.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code: 	Website: www.ruralneuropractice.com
	DOI: 10.4103/0976-3147.196468

deep brain stimulation (CT-DBS) is to activate thalamostriatal and thalamocortical network connections to regulate and facilitate thalamic function.

Thalamic nuclei with its ascending and descending fibers regulate and facilitate many physiological functions. Few studies have evidenced that thalamus by natural means,^[7,8,21] rehabilitation means,^[22-24] and by invasive stimulation method^[5] have crucial role in recovery mechanism. However, the exact evidence and mechanism for thalamic global dynamic network are not understood in detail.

Preclinical and histopathological studies evidence of thalamus with its network connection in recovery of traumatic brain injuries

In rodents, electrical stimulation of central thalamus is linked with activation of cortical, striatal, hippocampal neural circuits. This network activation is associated with improvements in learning, working memory, arousal system, and waking of rat from asleep.^[10,25,26] Recovery of somatosensory function among rats was associated with intact myelinated fibers connecting thalamic nucleus and somatosensory cortex as was evidenced by blood-oxygen-level-dependent response of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan.^[27] TBI rats treated with allopregnanolone had shown better performance in spatial performance task; allopregnanolone, a metabolite of progesterone, has shown to enhance gamma-aminobutyric acid neurotransmission in mediodorsal nucleus of thalamus.^[28]

Electrophysiological studies of alert monkeys have shown that central thalamus is directly involved in regulating firing pattern for performing a task and increases in firing pattern while performing task.^[29] In a histopathological study, one patient with diffuse axonal injuries (DAI) and transneuronal degeneration with no structural damage to thalamus had survived for more months as compared to other DAI patients.^[30] Reason for the same is not known.

Imaging evidence: Thalamic role in recovery of cognitive domains, postconcussion symptoms, pain, and behavioral functions following brain injuries

There are very few studies that have provided evidence of brain structures and connections that are involved in recovery following brain injuries [Table 1].

Direct evidence

Thirteen mild TBI patients at 4 months showed that thalamic functional connectivity (FC) was normalizing to baseline as compared to impaired 6 weeks thalamic FC. The decrease in patient's pain severity and postconcussion symptoms was significantly associated with normalization of thalamic dorsal attention network FC at 4 months.^[21] A three time-point imaging study on mild TBI patients hypothesized that increase in mean thalamic volume from baseline and appearance of fractional anisotropy of thalamocortical connection after 3–4 months of injury was significantly associated with improvements in cognitive domains.^[31] Two moderate TBI pediatric patients after 9 months of injury had poor cognitive performance (<5 percentile), moderately disabled with 7–8 concussive symptoms. The patients were subjected to 20 sessions of electroencephalogram (EEG) neurofeedback therapy during

which pre- and post-MRI scan and clinical parameters were documented. The study reported that emergence of fractional anisotropy value of thalamocortical connections had significant association with clinical improvements.^[8]

A study on single severe TBI patient reported progress from coma (day 8) to minimally conscious state (day 44) to posttraumatic confusional state (day 198) to fully community integration (day 366) was significantly associated with an increase in apparent diffusion coefficient and decrease in anisotropy value of thalamus.^[22] In 2007, a severe TBI patient with minimal conscious state was subjected to CT-DBS, 6.5 years after injury. The patient showed improvements in behavioral, arousal, and motor function, that is, exclusively due to broad recruitment of frontal-striatal resource with thalamic stimulation.^[15]

Central thalamic stimulation is directly involved with improvement in posttraumatic dystonia,^[32] posttraumatic tremors,^[33] and posttraumatic epilepsy.^[34]

Indirect evidence

A single severe TBI patient with persistent right-sided sensory motor deficit and difficulty in maintaining both static/dynamic balance, 43 months following injury was given intermittent theta burst stimulation to cerebellum and neuromotor training for 3 weeks. The patient showed a significant improvement in right-sided limb sensation and balance while walking. The improvement was due to enforcement of cerebello-thalamo-cerebral circuit.^[24]

In a study, the diffusion-tensor tractography revealed that the ascending reticular activating systems (connects pons and thalamus) tract volume and fractional anisotropy value increased significantly at 40 months as compared to 4 months was associated with patient Glasgow coma scale score improvement from 3 to 15.^[23] In mild TBIs, without any intervention, majority of patients recovers where thalamus plays a major role by means of adaptive neuroplasticity.^[8,21,31]

In moderate to severe TBIs, specific neurorehabilitation helps in recovery,^[15,22,24] where thalamus has a significant role to play. The thalamus with its broad connections has a potential part in recovery of insult brain.^[8,15,21-24,31] Therefore, thalamus has a key role in posttraumatic neuroplasticity.

Future directions

One of the challenges in TBI neuroplasticity is lack of quantitative evidence. If there was an objective metric that could be tracked over time in any severity of injury, TBI patients would be benefited. The longitudinal studies in all severities of brain injuries should focus and evaluate thalamus and its network's specific role in postinjury phase. These studies may give hint to by what threshold of preserved thalamic connections will enhance and facilitate recovery process and what specific rehabilitation and what time to be given. TBI neurorehabilitation should mainly focus on thalamic nuclei activation. For example, in mild to moderate, the noninvasive neurorehabilitation can be initiated like EEG neurofeedback therapy that specifically activates thalamic rhythm. Among severe TBI with minimal conscious state, CT-DBS mode may be beneficial.

Table 1: Evidence of role of thalamus in improvement of posttraumatic symptoms

Authors and year	Severities of TBI (n)	Intervention	Summary of improvements
Direct			
Banks <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ^[21]	Mild (13)	No	Thalamic FC ↓ Thalamic DAN- FC ↓ was associated with ↓ in pain and postconcussive symptoms scores
Munivenkatappa <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ^[31]	Mild (21)	No	Thalamic volume ↑ Thalamocortical FA ↑ Thalamus diffusivity values ↓ was associated with ↑ in memory scores Thalamic diffusivity values ↓ was associated with ↑ attention scores
Munivenkatappa <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ^[8]	Moderate (2)	EEG-neurofeedback therapy	Cortical gray matter volume ↑ FA ↑ of white matter tracts Global, local efficacy, cost, and Clustering coefficient of FC ↓ Thalamo-cortical FA ↑
Edlow <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ^[22]	Severe (1)	Comprehensive neurorehabilitation	Thalamus ADC ↑ and FA ↓ Patient improved in activities of daily living independently at 1-year postinjury
Schiff <i>et al.</i> , 2007 ^[15]	Severe (1)	CT-DBS	The improvement in interactive behavior, arousal level, and motor control
Indirect			
Martino Cinnera <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ^[24]	Severe (1)	rTMS+neurorehabilitation	Emergence of new gait patterns was due to activation of cerebello-thalamo-cerebral circuit
Jang <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ^[23]	Severe (1)	No	ARAS (connect pontine reticular formation and thalamus) ↑ FA and tract volume GCS improved from 7 to 15

FC: Functional connectivity, DAN: Dorsal attention network, FA: Fractional anisotropy, ARAS: Ascending reticular activating system, GCS: Glasgow coma scale, EEG: Electroencephalogram, CT-DBS: Central thalamus deep brain stimulation, rTMS: Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, TBI: Traumatic brain injury, ↓: Decrease, ↑: Increase

CONCLUSION

Not much is known about the basics of neuroplasticity of TBI and brain structures involved in it. Our review provides evidence that thalamus is naturally involved in recovery process as in mild TBIs. Few studies from available knowledge on pathophysiological process and brain structures involved in brain injury recovery mechanisms are targeting thalamus by means of activating thalamic nuclei by DBS, a specific neurorehabilitation that targets in activating rhythm of thalamus and by metabolites that targets and activates thalamus. By activating thalamus, it refines global network connections as a result there are significant improvements in posttraumatic symptoms. Postinjury neuroplasticity may be coordinated by many brain structures; however, among them, we emphasize that thalamus plays a major key role.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Kujala T, Näätänen R. The adaptive brain: A neurophysiological perspective. *Prog Neurobiol* 2010;91:55-67.
- Lubar JF. Neocortical dynamics: Implications for understanding the role of neurofeedback and related techniques for the enhancement of attention. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback* 1997;22:111-26.
- Nudo RJ. Recovery after brain injury: Mechanisms and principles. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2013;7:887.
- Sandsmark DK. Clinical outcomes after traumatic brain injury. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2016;16:52.
- Karni A, Meyer G, Rey-Hipolito C, Jezzard P, Adams MM, Turner R, *et al.* The acquisition of skilled motor performance: Fast and slow experience-driven changes in primary motor cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:861-8.
- Kleim JA, Barbay S, Nudo RJ. Functional reorganization of the rat motor cortex following motor skill learning. *J Neurophysiol* 1998;80:3321-5.
- Munivenkatappa A, Devi BI, Shukla DP, Rajeswaran J. Role of the thalamus in natural recovery of cognitive impairment in patients with mild traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2016;30:388-92.
- Munivenkatappa A, Rajeswaran J, Indira Devi B, Bennet N, Upadhyay N. EEG Neurofeedback therapy: Can it attenuate brain changes in TBI? *NeuroRehabilitation* 2014;35:481-4.
- Laureys S, Faymonville ME, Luxen A, Lamy M, Franck G, Maquet P. Restoration of thalamocortical connectivity after recovery from persistent vegetative state. *Lancet* 2000;355:1790-1.
- Liu J, Lee HJ, Weitz AJ, Fang Z, Lin P, Choy M, *et al.* Frequency-selective control of cortical and subcortical networks by central thalamus. *Elife* 2015;4:e09215.

11. Schiff ND. Central thalamic contributions to arousal regulation and neurological disorders of consciousness. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2008;1129:105-18.
12. Nagai Y, Critchley HD, Featherstone E, Fenwick PB, Trimble MR, Dolan RJ. Brain activity relating to the contingent negative variation: An fMRI investigation. *Neuroimage* 2004;21:1232-41.
13. Van der Werf YD, Witter MP, Groenewegen HJ. The intralaminar and midline nuclei of the thalamus. Anatomical and functional evidence for participation in processes of arousal and awareness. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 2002;39:107-40.
14. Mitchell AS, Sherman SM, Sommer MA, Mair RG, Vertes RP, Chudasama Y. Advances in understanding mechanisms of thalamic relays in cognition and behavior. *J Neurosci* 2014;34:15340-6.
15. Schiff ND, Giacino JT, Kalmar K, Victor JD, Baker K, Gerber M, *et al.* Behavioural improvements with thalamic stimulation after severe traumatic brain injury. *Nature* 2007;448:600-3.
16. Wyder MT, Massoglia DP, Stanford TR. Contextual modulation of central thalamic delay-period activity: Representation of visual and saccadic goals. *J Neurophysiol* 2004;91:2628-48.
17. Paus T, Koski L, Caramanos Z, Westbury C. Regional differences in the effects of task difficulty and motor output on blood flow response in the human anterior cingulate cortex: A review of 107 PET activation studies. *Neuroreport* 1998;9:R37-47.
18. Kinomura S, Larsson J, Gulyás B, Roland PE. Activation by attention of the human reticular formation and thalamic intralaminar nuclei. *Science* 1996;271:512-5.
19. Grillner S, Hellgren J, Ménard A, Saitoh K, Wikström MA. Mechanisms for selection of basic motor programs – Roles for the striatum and pallidum. *Trends Neurosci* 2005;28:364-70.
20. Schiff ND. Recovery of consciousness after brain injury: A mesocircuit hypothesis. *Trends Neurosci* 2010;33:1-9.
21. Banks SD, Coronado RA, Clemons LR, Abraham CM, Pruthi S, Conrad BN, *et al.* Thalamic functional connectivity in mild traumatic brain injury: Longitudinal associations with patient-reported outcomes and neuropsychological tests. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2016;97:1254-61.
22. Edlow BL, Giacino JT, Hirschberg RE, Gerrard J, Wu O, Hochberg LR. Unexpected recovery of function after severe traumatic brain injury: The limits of early neuroimaging-based outcome prediction. *Neurocrit Care* 2013;19:364-75.
23. Jang SH, Kim SH, Lim HW, Yeo SS. Recovery of injured lower portion of the ascending reticular activating system in a patient with traumatic brain injury. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2015;94:250-3.
24. Martino Cinnera A, Bonni S, Iosa M, Ponzo V, Fusco A, Caltagirone C, *et al.* Clinical effects of non-invasive cerebellar magnetic stimulation treatment combined with neuromotor rehabilitation in traumatic brain injury. A single case study. *Funct Neurol* 2016;31:117-20.
25. Shirvalkar P, Seth M, Schiff ND, Herrera DG. Cognitive enhancement with central thalamic electrical stimulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:17007-12.
26. Tabansky I, Quinkert AW, Rahman N, Muller SZ, Lofgren J, Rudling J, *et al.* Temporally-patterned deep brain stimulation in a mouse model of multiple traumatic brain injury. *Behav Brain Res* 2014;273:123-32.
27. Niskanen JP, Airaksinen AM, Sierra A, Huttunen JK, Nissinen J, Karjalainen PA, *et al.* Monitoring functional impairment and recovery after traumatic brain injury in rats by FMRI. *J Neurotrauma* 2013;30:546-56.
28. He J, Hoffman SW, Stein DG. Allopregnanolone, a progesterone metabolite, enhances behavioral recovery and decreases neuronal loss after traumatic brain injury. *Restor Neurol Neurosci* 2004;22:19-31.
29. Schiff ND, Shah SA, Hudson AE, Nauvel T, Kalik SF, Purpura KP. Gating of attentional effort through the central thalamus. *J Neurophysiol* 2013;109:1152-63.
30. Adams JH, Graham DI, Jennett B. The neuropathology of the vegetative state after an acute brain insult. *Brain* 2000;123(Pt 7):1327-38.
31. Munivenkatappa A, Devi BI, Shukla DP, Rajeswaran J. Role of the thalamus in natural recovery of cognitive impairment in patients with mild traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2015;30:1-5.
32. Katsakiori PF, Kefalopoulou Z, Markaki E, Paschali A, Ellul J, Kagadis GC, *et al.* Deep brain stimulation for secondary dystonia: Results in 8 patients. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 2009;151:473-8.
33. Broggi G, Brock S, Franzini A, Geminiani G. A case of posttraumatic tremor treated by chronic stimulation of the thalamus. *Mov Disord* 1993;8:206-8.
34. Shin SS, Dixon CE, Okonkwo DO, Richardson RM. Neurostimulation for traumatic brain injury. *J Neurosurg* 2014;121:1219-31.