ScientificScholar Knowledge is power

Journal of Neurosciences in Rural Practice



Original Article

Rehabilitation outcomes in patients with post-COVID-19 vaccineassociated Guillain-Barre syndrome

Anupam Gupta¹, Anurag Ranga¹, Naveen B. Prakash¹, Meeka Khanna¹

¹Department of Neurological Rehabilitation, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

ABSTRACT

Objective: With COVID-19 vaccination campaign worldwide, associated Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) is being increasingly reported from different countries. The objectives of the study were to observe the clinical profile and rehabilitation outcomes in patients with post-COVID-19 vaccine-associated GBS.

Material and Method: This prospective study was conducted in neurological rehabilitation unit with in-patients. A detailed customized rehabilitation program was formulated based on the clinical status and associated complications. Outcome measures were documented on the day of admission and at discharge and compared.

Results: The study included 16 patients (eight males) of which 15 (93.75%) received the CoviShield (AstraZeneca) and 1 Covaxin (Bharat Biotech) vaccine. The median (IQR) duration of first symptom was 9 (18.25) days and for motor symptoms 18 (12.75) days. Functional improvement was observed in patients using Barthel index scores and Hughes disability scores and overall neuropathy limitation scale. All rehabilitation outcomes showed a statistically significant improvement (P < 0.05) from the time of admission to discharge. At discharge, complete independence in activities of daily living was achieved in 4 (25%) patients and 5 (31.25%) were minimally dependent. Three (18.75%) patients were walking independently, seven (43.75%) with minimal support, and four with walker (25%). Nine (56.25%) patients needed bilateral ankle-foot orthosis and two bilateral knee gaiters for locomotion.

Conclusion: Comprehensive inpatient rehabilitation interventions in patients with post-COVID-19 vaccine-associated GBS result in significant functional recovery.

Keywords: COVID-19 vaccines, Guillain-Barre syndrome, Inpatient rehabilitation

INTRODUCTION

Guillain- Barre syndrome (GBS) is an immune-mediated neurological disease manifesting as acute onset, rapidly developing polyradiculoneuropathy resulting in classically a symmetrical, flaccid, and ascending weakness with hyporeflexia or areflexia. It is typically seen in the postinfectious (viral or bacterial) phase after gastrointestinal or respiratory symptoms. During COVID-19 pandemic, there are reports of GBS occurring both as a neurological manifestation of COVID-19 infection as well as following COVID-19 vaccination.^[1-5] The occurrence has been reported to be varying in different countries. A British study found a reduction in GBS during COVID-19 pandemic in comparison to pre-COVID time in the UK residents.^[6] Whereas a larger number of GBS cases following COVID-19 infection have been reported from Italy, indicating that environmental and genetic factors might have an important role to play.^[7,8]

The underlying pathophysiology of GBS is considered to be molecular mimicry as it occurs following certain infections. The causal link through molecular mimicry or crossreactive antibodies against ganglioside epitopes has been recognized for limited pathogens such as *Cytomegalovirus* and *Campylobacter jejuni*, predominantly for axonal and Miller Fisher syndrome variants.^[9] However, proving the causal relationship between the GBS and vaccine on a molecular level remains a challenge.^[1] A probable association of vaccination to GBS, particularly amplified risk of GBS with swine flu (H1N1 influenza) vaccination, has been reported.^[2]

Since the first-reported case of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in

*Corresponding author: Anupam Gupta, Department of Neurological Rehabilitation, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. drgupta159@yahoo.co.in

Received: 12 September 2022 Accepted: 20 September 2022 EPub Ahead of Print: 02 December 2022 Published: 16 December 2022 DOI: 10.25259/JNRP-2022-6-26

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. ©2022 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Journal of Neurosciences in Rural Practice

Journal of Neurosciences in Rural Practice • Volume 13 • Issue 4 • October-December 2022 | 684

December 2019, COVID-19 has caused a global pandemic associated with significant morbidity and mortality. After more than a year of advances in vaccine research and development, two vaccines against COVID-19: (i) "CoviShield" vaccine manufactured by Serum Institute of India; a version of Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine and (ii) "Covaxin" developed by Bharat Biotech International Ltd. in association with Indian Council of Medical Research and the National Institute of Virology have been approved for use in India. With progression of COVID-19 vaccination campaign worldwide, the cases of vaccine-associated GBS are increasing.^[10-13] Therefore, early recognition and reporting of possible neurological complications post-COVID-19 vaccine is essential.

GBS is usually considered to have favorable prognosis with majority (in percentage) recovering completely but still a large number of patients are left with residual deficits and require long-term rehabilitation.^[14] As post-COVID-19-associated GBS is a recent phenomenon, no literature is available about the long-term impairments and disability. There is hardly any study on the rehabilitation outcomes in these patients developing GBS post-vaccine.

The aim of the present study was to observe the clinical profile and rehabilitation outcomes in patients with post-COVID-19 vaccine-associated GBS admitted for inpatient rehabilitation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective study was conducted in neurological rehabilitation unit of a tertiary university research hospital, between April 2021 and March 2022. Patients who were diagnosed with GBS based on clinical, electrophysiological, and/or supportive cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis and had a history of being vaccinated with COVID-19 vaccine (Covisheild or Covaxin) in the preceding days/ weeks and those admitted for inpatients rehabilitation were included in the study.^[15] All patients were initially admitted in the department of neurology, and after confirming diagnosis as GBS, 14 patients were treated with large volume plasmapheresis (LVPP) and two were treated with intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg).

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and the patients willing to give informed consent were enrolled. Men or women above the age of 18 years (vaccination program for <18 years age group was not started in the country then with post-COVID-19 vaccineassociated GBS and was able to participate for at least 2 h/day of inpatient rehabilitation program) were included in the study. Individuals with the previous history of COVID-19 symptomatic/confirmed infection, dyspnea, or other acute medical conditions that prohibit intensive rehabilitation training or patients with post-COVID-19 vaccine-associated long-segment transverse myelitis, leading to tetraplegia/ paraplegia, were excluded from the study.

Demographic profile, risk factors, duration of illness, and detailed clinical examination findings were documented. Previously validated assessment tools/outcome measures were used in the present study; severity of muscle weakness was graded using Medical Research Council strength assessment scale,^[16] degree of disability was quantified using Hughes GBS disability score (HDS) and overall neuropathy limitation scale (ONLS), independence in performing activities of daily living (ADL) was assessed using Barthel index scale, fatigue level with fatigue severity scale (FSS), sleep quality using Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI), and anxiety and depression were assessed using Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). Outcome measures were recorded at baseline (on day of admission) and at the time of discharge. Analysis was done by comparing outcome measures at admission and at discharge.

Outcome measures

Primary outcome measures

Barthel index

Ten variables are assessed on an ordinal scale (a score of 0–100) to measure independence in ADL, a higher score indicates greater independence.^[17]

HDS

This is a 7-point validated tool to assess functional status in individuals with GBS. $^{\left[18\right] }$

ONLS

This is used to measure a person's ability to perform the upper and lower extremity functional activities (arm score 0-5 and leg score 0-7) with score ranging from 0 to 12 (0 – no disability and 12 – maximum disability).^[19]

Secondary outcome measures

FSS

It is a 9-item scale measuring the severity of fatigue and its effect on a person's activities and lifestyle, a score \geq 4 indicates fatigue.^[20]

PSQI

It consists of seven subscores, each of which can range from 0 to 3. These are tallied to calculate a "global" score, ranging from 0 to 21. Poor sleep quality is indicated by a score of ≥ 5 .^[21]

HADS

It consists of 14 items with seven each for anxiety and depression, a subscale score >8 indicates anxiety or depression.^[22]

A detailed customized program was made for all individual patients based on the clinical status and associated complications, which included managing the medical issues. Dysphagia management and bladder/bowel issues were addressed. A detailed therapy program consisting of physiotherapy, occupational therapy, counseling, and psychosocial rehabilitation (by psychologists and social workers) was made and provided to the patients. Custommade orthotic devices were provided wherever required. The ultimate goal was to make patients independent in performing ADL and independent community ambulators by the time of discharge from rehabilitation.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive variables are presented as median (IQR) and categorical variables as percentage or proportion. Pre- and post-rehabilitation outcomes were analyzed by Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Statistical analysis was done using RK Ward Ver 0.7.0b. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The study included 16 patients (eight males) with post-COVID-19 vaccine-associated GBS of which 15 (93.75%) received the CoviShield vaccine. Mean age was 43 years (SD 19.2, range 18–63 years) and 10 patients (62.5%) developed GBS after the first dose of vaccine. In all patients, the real-time polymerase chain reaction test for SARS-CoV-2 was negative.

The median (IQR) duration of onset of first symptom (motor/ non-motor) from day of vaccination was 9 (18.25) days and for motor symptoms; 18 (12.75) days. CSF analysis detected albumin-cytological dissociation in all patients. Nerve conduction studies showed 5 (31.25%) patients with typical acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (AIDP), 4 (25%) had acute motor axonal neuropathy, and 7 (43.75%) had acute motor-sensory axonal neuropathy variant. Antinuclear antibody profile was positive in 2 (12.5%) and anti-ganglioside antibodies and anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies were absent in all patients.

Eight patients (50%) required intensive care unit admission, 5 patients (31.25%) required ventilator support secondary to respiratory failure, 3 (18.75%) developed pneumonia, while 4 (25%) required tracheostomy for airway management. Cranial nerve involvement was seen in 8 patients (50%), all had facial diplegia and seven of them had bulbar involvement with dysphagia. One patient had ophthalmoplegia (Miller-Fisher variant). Bladder involvement was observed in 1 individual (8.25%) who underwent filling and voiding cystometrography in the rehabilitation unit. It showed detrusor overactivity and sphincter dyssynergia. By the time of discharge, the patient was continent and self-voiding.

The mainstay of treatment for GBS was LVPP and IvIg, given to 14 and two patients, respectively. Three patients had comorbidities (such as hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Type 2 diabetes mellitus, and seizure disorder). During the course of rehabilitation, no new neurological deficits were observed.

Total median (IQR) duration of inpatient rehabilitation was 20 (21.25) days. Before transfer to rehabilitation, they stayed for about 16 (18.5) days in neurology for diagnosis and medical treatment. Demographic characteristics and clinical features at admission in rehabilitation unit are shown in [Table 1].

Hughes disability scores median score of 4 (3, 4) was observed at the time of admission while at discharge, it was 3 (2.75, 3). Median (IQR) score of Barthel index at admission was 45 (23.75, 56.25) and at discharge was 62.5 (45, 70). Median score of ONLS arm scale at admission was 2 (2, 3) and at discharge 2 (1, 2.25) while median score of ONLS leg scale was 5.5 (3.5, 6) at admission and 4 (2.75, 4) at discharge. Statistically significant recovery (P < 0.05) was observed using all these scales when discharge scores were compared with admission scores (HDS, Barthel index, and ONLS). This comparison of outcome measures is shown in [Table 2].

At the end of the rehabilitation program, complete independence in all ADL was achieved in 4 (25%) patients and 5 (31.25%) were minimally dependent. Three (18.75%) patients were walking independently, 7 (43.75%) were able to walk with minimal support (no assistive device), and ambulation with a walker was possible in 4 (25%). Nine (56.25%) patients needed bilateral ankle-foot orthosis and 2 (12.50%) needed bilateral knee gaiters for locomotion.

DISCUSSION

By the end of the March 2022, about 1.82 billion COVID-19 vaccine doses had been given in India and about 0.82 billion people (60% of the population) were fully vaccinated.^[23] In this study, GBS was observed following first and second dose of COVID vaccination in 10 (62.5%) and 6 (37.5%) patients, respectively. The time interval from day of vaccination to the onset of first GBS symptom ranged from 1 to 26 days which is in concurrence with earlier case reports and series published (1–39 days).^[24-27] The duration between vaccination and the onset of first motor symptom ranged from 3 to 29 days in the study correlating with the probable time needed for immune system to respond to COVID-19 vaccines.

| Age (mean years, range) | 43 (18–63) | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Sex (males, %) | 8 (50) | |
| Vaccine – CoviShield <i>n</i> , (%) | 15 (93.75) | |
| Symptomatic after vaccination (n, %) | First dose – 10 (62.5) | |
| | Second dose – 6 (37.5) | |
| Number of days to onset of first symptom after vaccination (median, 1 st and 3 rd quartile) | 9 (2.75, 21) | |
| Number of days to onset of motor symptoms after first symptom (median, 1 st and 3 rd quartile) | 3 (1.75, 9.25) | |
| Number of days to onset of motor symptom after vaccination (median, 1 st and 3 rd quartile) | 18 (10.25, 23) | |
| Cranial nerve involvement (<i>n</i> , %) | 8 (50) | |
| Facial diplegia | 8 (50) | |
| Facial diplegia with ophthalmoplegia | 1 (6.25) | |
| Bulbar symptoms (n, %) | 7 (43.75) | |
| Sensory involvement (<i>n</i> , %) | 8 (50) | |
| Tetraplegia (n, %) | 15 (93.75) | |
| Paraparesis (n, %) | 1 (6.25) | |
| Bladder involvement (<i>n</i> , %) | 1 (6.25) | |
| Patients required ICU (<i>n</i> , %) | 8 (50) | |
| Tracheostomy support (n, %) | 4 (25) | |
| Pneumonia (<i>n</i> , %) | 3 (18.75) | |
| Patients required ventilator support (<i>n</i> , %) | 5 (31.25) | |
| CSF examination (albuminocytological dissociation) (n, %) | 16 (100) | |
| Nerve conduction studies (<i>n</i> , %) | | |
| Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy | 5 (31.25) | |
| Acute motor axonal neuropathy | 4 (25) | |
| Acute motor sensory axonal neuropathy | 7 (43.75) | |
| ANA positive (<i>n</i> , %) | 2 (12.5) | |
| ANCA positive (<i>n</i> , %) | 0 (0) | |
| Anti-ganglioside antibody positive (<i>n</i> , %) | 0 (0) | |
| RTPCR at the time of admission – negative $(n, \%)$) | 16 (100) | |
| Freatment $(n, \%)$ | | |
| LVPP | 14 (87.5) | |
| IVIG | 2 (12.5) | |
| Median (1 st and 3 rd quartile) duration of inpatient rehabilitation (days) | 20 (14.25, 35.5) | |
| Median (1 st and 3 rd quartile) duration of stay in neurology (days) | 16 (11.75, 30.25) | |

LMN: Lower motor neuron, CN: Cranial nerve, ICU: Intensive care unit, CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid, ANA: Antinuclear antibody, ANCA: Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, RT-PCR: Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction, LVPP: Large volume plasmapheresis, IVIG: Intravenous immunoglobulin

Exact immunopathogenesis of GBS after COVID-19 vaccination is unidentified and numerous mechanisms have been proposed like; (i) resemblance of vaccine epitopes with peripheral nerve's axon or myelin epitopes triggering an immune response, (ii) nerve membrane damage resulting directly from the exposure to vaccine products, and/or (iii) genetic predisposition.^[28,29] Greater incidences of GBS have been reported after administration of adenovirus vectorbased COVID-19 vaccine (AstraZeneca).^[24,26,27] In the present study too, most patients received CoviShield (Serum Institute of India and AstraZeneca), which is a adenovirus vector-based vaccine. These findings suggest that rather than the spike proteins of COVID-19 virus, adenoviral vector may be the causative factor for triggering an immune response following vaccination.^[26] Ten out of 16 patients developed paralysis following the first dose of vaccine. Similar observations have been made by the earlier studies reporting occurrence of GBS within 2 weeks after receipt of first dose of CoviShield.^[24,26,27,30]

In the present study, 5 (31.25%) patients showed typical AIDP and 11 (68.75%) showed axonal variant on nerve conduction study. A systematic review published recently has shown 3-fold increase in the occurrence of AIDP in COVID-19-infected GBS patients compared to non-COVID-19-infected GBS controls.^[31] One of the reasons for this contrasting observation could be that post-vaccine typical AIDP patients developed milder infection, symptoms, and impairments and were not required to undergo inpatient rehabilitation. Hence, they did not report to our department.

In our study, low prevalence of ganglioside antibodies was observed, which is in contrary to an earlier study which showed

| S. No. | Outcome measures | At admission median (1 st and 3 rd quartile) | At discharge median (1 st and 3 rd quartile) | P-value |
|--------|---------------------|--|--|---------|
| 1. | Barthel index score | 45 (23.75, 56.25) | 62.5 (45, 70) | 0.002 |
| 2. | HDS | 4 (3, 4) | 3 (2.75, 3) | 0.005 |
| 3. | ONLS arm scale | 2 (2, 3) | 2 (1, 2.25) | 0.01 |
| 4. | ONLS leg scale | 5.5 (3.5, 6) | 4 (2.75, 4) | 0.003 |
| 5. | ONLS scale | 6.5 (5.5, 9) | 5.5 (3, 6.25) | 0.002 |
| 6. | FSS | 2.85 (1.1, 4) | 2.35 (1, 4) | 0.02 |
| 7. | PSQI | 1 (0, 2.25) | 0 (0, 1) | 0.05 |
| 8. | HADS-A | 4 (2, 6) | 2 (1, 5) | 0.01 |
| 9. | HADS-D | 3 (2, 4.25) | 2.5 (1.75, 3.25) | 0.02 |
| 10. | HADS | 6 (4, 11) | 5 (3, 7.5) | 0.01 |

HDS: Hughes GBS disability score, ONLS: Overall neuropathy limitation scale, FSS: Fatigue severity scale, PSQI: Pittsburgh sleep quality index, HADS: Hospital anxiety and depression scale

stronger association of ganglioside antibodies with axonal variant of GBS.^[32] This difference in observation is difficult to explain. All patients in our study had albumin-cytological dissociation in CSF examination, which suggests prevalence of blood–brain barrier dysfunction in post-vaccine GBS.

Overall, the clinical manifestations were diverse with varying severity; 13 patients presented with the classical GBS phenotype with flaccid tetraplegia, while the other individuals showed distinct clinical features of GBS variants. One patient had paraplegia, one had Miller-Fisher variant (with ophthalmoplegia), and another patient had bladder involvement. Findings in general were comparable and in line with the observations of the previous case studies, which suggest that COVID-19 vaccine-associated GBS has varied clinical presentations such as facial diplegia, complete ophthalmoplegia, bulbar symptoms, severe tetraplegia, paraplegia, and bladder involvement.^[24-27,30] Pulmonary dysfunction is common in subacute phase of GBS and may lead to complications.^[33] About 50% of patients in the study required intensive care unit and five required ventilator support.

Six (37.5%) patients had fatigue (FSS≥4) at the time of admission, out of which one patient had severe fatigue (FSS≥5). At the time of discharge, five patients still had fatigue but none of them reported severe fatigue. A previous study reported severe fatigue (FSS≥5) in much less proportion of patients who were admitted for inpatient rehabilitation, which improved by the time of discharge.^[34] Another study with GBS patients with more than 1 year of disease onset reported 30% prevalence of severe fatigue.^[35] Low occurrence of severe fatigue in the present study may have resulted from our assessment during the early recovery phase in these individuals, when their primary concern is significant disability instead of fatigue. Other reasons for improvement in fatigue can be attributed to better motor recovery, response to pharmacotherapy, and optimum rehabilitation strategies.

The previous studies have reported variable occurrence of neuropathic pain (29–89%) in GBS patients.^[36-38] In our study,

13 (81.25%) patients had neuropathic pain at admission and 11 (68.75%) patients still had neuropathic pain at discharge. These findings suggest relatively high prevalence of neuropathic pain in GBS patients which correlate with fatigue, physical functioning, and disability.^[39]

Khan *et al.* have reported depression along with fear and anxiety to be a significant finding at the onset of symptoms in GBS.^[40] In our study, only two patients had HADS-A and HADS-D scores ≥ 10 at the time of admission while only one had an increased score at the time of discharge. The reason could be good motor and functional recovery by the time of discharge. Anxiety and depression are major finding in acute phase of GBS due to sudden onset and progression of symptoms in relatively healthy patients, whereas in subacute phase, patients showing motor and functional recovery which might allay their anxiety and elevate low mood.^[34]

Sleep quality assessment showed that only one patient had sleep disturbance (PSQI \geq 5), both at the time of admission and at discharge. This is in contradiction to the greater occurrence (35% and 73%) of sleep disturbance observed in the previous studies.^[34,38] Again, this can be attributed to good motor and functional recovery and adequate support and counseling to patients by our rehabilitation team (both the psychologists and the social workers).

Garssen *et al.* noted that a well-customized rehabilitation program and training improves quality of life, fitness, and fatigue in patients with GBS.^[41] We observed a significant change in outcome measures in our patients. Barthel score improved and HDS, ONLS, FSS, PSQI, and HADS scores decreased at the time of discharge (P < 0.05), suggesting holistic recovery with multidisciplinary rehabilitation.

Limitations of the study

The present study is a hospital-based single-center study with a relatively small sample without follow-up. Affected patients had moderate-to-severe disability; hence, they were offered inpatient rehabilitation. During the same period, there could have been many more persons who developed COVID-19 vaccine-associated GBS with mild(er) symptoms and recovered with initial treatment in the department of neurology without rehabilitation intervention. We might have missed out on those patients.

CONCLUSION

Post-COVID-19 vaccine-associated GBS has been reported from different parts of the globe. Like the typical GBS cases, these patients need inpatient rehabilitation intervention in case of moderate-to-severe illness. Timely recognition, adequate treatment, and rehabilitation of post-COVID-19 vaccine-associated GBS result in better outcomes and good motor and functional recovery.

Declaration of patient consent

Patients' consent not required as there are no patients in this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Razok A, Shams A, Almeer A, Zahid M. Post-COVID-19 vaccine Guillain-Barré syndrome; first reported case from Qatar. Ann Med Surg (Lond) 2021;67:102540.
- Oo WM, Giri P, de Souza A. AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine and Guillain- Barré syndrome in Tasmania: A causal link? J Neuroimmunol 2021;360:577719.
- 3. Willison HJ, Jacobs BC, van Doorn PA. Guillain-Barré syndrome. Lancet 2016;388:717-27.
- Abu-Rumeileh S, Abdelhak A, Foschi M, Tumani H, Otto M. Guillain-Barré syndrome spectrum associated with COVID-19: An up-to-date systematic review of 73 cases. J Neurol 2021;268:1133-70.
- Leonhard SE, Mandarakas MR, Gondim FAA, Bateman K, Ferreira MLB, Cornblath DR, *et al.* Diagnosis and management of Guillain-Barré syndrome in ten steps. Nat Rev Neurol 2019;15:671-83.
- Keddie S, Pakpoor J, Mousele C, Pipis M, Machado PM, Foster M, *et al.* Epidemiological and cohort study finds no association between COVID-19 and Guillain-Barré syndrome. Brain 2021;144:682-93.
- Liotta G, Marazzi MC, Orlando S, Palombi L. Is social connectedness a risk factor for the spreading of COVID-19 among older adults? The Italian paradox. PLoS One 2020;15:e0233329.
- 8. Benetti E, Tita R, Spiga O, Ciolfi A, Birolo G, Bruselles A, *et al.* ACE2 gene variants may underlie interindividual variability

and susceptibility to COVID-19 in the Italian population. Eur J Hum Genet 2020;28:1602-14.

- Yuki N. Infectious origins of, and molecular mimicry in, Guillain-Barré and Fisher syndromes. Lancet Infect Dis 2001;1:29-37.
- Introna A, Caputo F, Santoro C, Guerra T, Ucci M, Mezzapesa DM, *et al.* Guillain-Barré syndrome after AstraZeneca COVID-19-vaccination: A causal or casual association? Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2021;208:106887.
- 11. Garnero M, Del Sette M, Assini A, Beronio A, Capello E, Cabona C, *et al.* COVID-19-related and not related Guillain-Barré syndromes share the same management pitfalls during lock down: The experience of Liguria region in Italy. J Neurol Sci 2020;418:117114.
- Kajumba MM, Kolls BJ, Koltai DC, Kaddumukasa M, Kaddumukasa M, Laskowitz DT. COVID-19-associated Guillain-Barre syndrome: Atypical para-infectious profile, symptom overlap, and increased risk of severe neurological complications. SN Compr Clin Med 2020;2:2702-14.
- Dhamne MC, Benny R, Singh R, Pande A, Agarwal P, Wagh S, et al. Guillian Barre' syndrome in patients with SARS-CoV-2: A multicentric study from Maharashtra, India. Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2021;24:339-46.
- 14. Gupta A, Taly AB, Srivatava A, Murali T. Guillain Barre syndrome rehabilitation outcomes, residual deficits and requirement of lower limb orthosis for locomotion at 1 year follow up. Disabil Rehabil 2010;32:1897-902.
- Asbury AK, Cornblath DR. Assessment of current diagnostic criteria for Guillain-Barré syndrome. Ann Neurol 1990;27 Suppl: S21-4.
- 16. Kleyweg RP, van der Meché FG, Schmitz PI. Interobserver agreement in the assessment of muscle strength and functional abilities in Guillain-Barré syndrome. Muscle Nerve 1991;14:1103-9.
- 17. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional evaluation: The barthel index. Md State Med J 1965;14:61-5.
- Hughes RA, Newsom-Davis JM, Perkin GD, Pierce JM. Controlled trial prednisolone in acute polyneuropathy. Lancet 1978;2:750-3.
- 19. Graham RC, Hughes RA. A modified peripheral neuropathy scale: The overall neuropathy limitations scale. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2006;77:973-6.
- 20. Krupp LB, LaRocca NG, Muir-Nash J, Steinberg AD. The fatigue severity scale. Application to patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arch Neurol 1989;46:1121-3.
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res 1989;28:193-213.
- 22. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983;67:361-70.
- 23. MoHFW India. Available from: https://www.mohfw.gov.in [Last accessed on 2022 Apr 06].
- 24. Finsterer J, Scorza FA, Scorza CA. Post SARS-CoV-2 vaccination Guillain-Barre syndrome in 19 patients. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2021;76:e3286.
- 25. Karimi N, Boostani R, Fatehi F, Panahi A, Okhovat AA, Ziaadini B, *et al.* Research paper: Guillain-Barre syndrome

and COVID-19 vaccine: A report of nine patients. Basic Clin Neurosci 2021;12:703-10.

- Kim J, Min YG, Shin J, Kwon YN, Bae JS, Sung JJ, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome and variants following COVID-19 vaccination : Report of 13 cases. Korean Med Sci 2022;12:820723.
- Maramattom BV, Krishnan P, Paul R, Padmanabhan S, Nampoothiri SC, Syed AA, *et al.* Guillain-Barré syndrome following ChAdOx1-S/nCoV-19 vaccine. Ann Neurol 2021;90:312-4.
- 28. Heinz FX, Stiasny K. Distinguishing features of current COVID-19 vaccines: Knowns and unknowns of antigen presentation and modes of action. NPJ Vaccines 2021;6:104.
- 29. Vadalà M, Poddighe D, Laurino C, Palmieri B. Vaccination and autoimmune diseases: Is prevention of adverse health effects on the horizon? EPMA J 2017;8:295-311.
- Kripalani Y, Lakkappan V, Parulekar L, Shaikh A, Singh R, Vyas P. A rare case of Guillain-Barré syndrome following COVID-19 vaccination. Eur J Case Rep Intern Med 2021;8:002707.
- Palaiodimou L, Stefanou M, Katsanos AH, Fragkou PC, Papadopoulou M, Moschovos C, *et al.* Prevalence, clinical characteristics and outcomes of Guillain-Barré syndrome spectrum associated with COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Neurol 2021;28:3517-29.
- 32. Sekiguchi Y, Uncini A, Yuki N, Misawa S, Notturno F, Nasu S, *et al.* Antiganglioside antibodies are associated with axonal Guillain-Barré syndrome: A Japanese-Italian collaborative study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012;83:23-8.
- 33. Khanna M, Rawat N, Gupta A, Nagappa M, Taly AB, Rukmani MR, *et al.* Pulmonary involvement in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome in subacute phase. J Neurosci

Rural Pract 2017;8:412-6.

- Ranjani P, Khanna M, Gupta A, Nagappa M, Taly AB, Haldar P. Prevalence of fatigue in Guillain-Barre syndrome in neurological rehabilitation setting. Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2014;17:331-5.
- 35. Witsch J, Galldiks N, Bender A, Kollmar R, Bösel J, Hobohm C, *et al.* Long-term outcome in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome requiring mechanical ventilation. J Neurol 2013;260:1367-74.
- Kinboshi M, Inoue M, Kojima Y, Ono M, Nakagawa T, Kanda M, *et al.* Pain in the acute phase of Guillain-Barré syndrome. Neurol Clin Neurosci 2014;2:50-3.
- Moulin DE, Hagen N, Feasby TE, Amireh R, Hahn A. Pain in Guillain-Barré syndrome. Neurology 1997;48:328-31.
- Swami T, Khanna M, Gupta A, Prakash NB. Neuropathic pain in Guillain-Barre syndrome: Association with rehabilitation outcomes and quality of life. Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2021;24:708-14.
- Rudolph T, Larsen JP, Farbu E. The long-term functional status in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome. Eur J Neurol 2008;15:1332-7.
- 40. Khan F, Amatya B. Rehabilitation interventions in patients with acute demyelinating inflammatory polyneuropathy: A systematic review. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2012;48:507-22.
- 41. Garssen MP, Bussmann JB, Schmitz PI, Zandbergen A, Welter TG, Merkies IS, *et al.* Physical training and fatigue, fitness, and quality of life in Guillain-Barré syndrome and CIDP. Neurology 2004;63:2393-5.

How to cite this article: Gupta A, Ranga A, Prakash NB, Khanna M. Rehabilitation outcomes in patients with post-COVID-19 vaccine-associated Guillain-Barre syndrome. J Neurosci Rural Pract 2022;13:684-90.