How do repeat suicide attempters differ from first timers? An exploratory record based analysis

Vikas Menon, Shivanand Kattimani, Siddharth Sarkar¹, Kaliaperumal Mathan

Department of Psychiatry, Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry, ¹Department of Psychiatry, Sree Balaji Medical College and Hospitals, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

Background: Evidence indicates that repeat suicide attempters, as a group, may differ from 1st time attempters. The identification of repeat attempters is a powerful but underutilized clinical variable. Aims: In this research, we aimed to compare individuals with lifetime histories of multiple attempts with 1st time attempters to identify factors predictive of repeat attempts. Setting and Design: This was a retrospective record based study carried out at a teaching cum Tertiary Care Hospital in South India. Methods: Relevant data was extracted from the clinical records of 1st time attempters (n = 362) and repeat attempters (n = 61) presenting to a single Tertiary Care Center over a $4\frac{1}{2}$ year period. They were compared on various sociodemographic and clinical parameters. The clinical measures included Presumptive Stressful Life Events Scale, Beck Hopelessness Scale, Coping Strategies Inventory - Short Form, and the Global Assessment of Functioning Scale. Statistical Analysis Used: First time attempters and repeaters were compared using appropriate inferential statistics. Logistic regression was used to identify independent predictors of repeat attempts. Results: The two groups did not significantly differ on sociodemographic characteristics. Repeat attempters were more likely to have given prior hints about their act (χ^2 = 4.500, P = 0.034). In the final regression model, beck hopelessness score emerged as a significant predictor of repeat suicide attempts (odds ratio = 1.064, P = 0.020). Conclusion: Among suicide attempters presenting to the hospital, the presence of hopelessness is a predictor of repeat suicide attempts, independent of clinical depression. This highlights the importance of considering hopelessness in the assessment of suicidality with a view to minimize the risk of future attempts.

Key words: Attempted suicide, cognition, depression, hopelessness, suicide

Introduction

Suicide is a major social and public health issue worldwide. [1,2] A prior suicide attempt is one of the strongest predictors of eventual suicide. [3,4] However, there are difficulties in accurately identifying individuals who are likely to repeat from a sample of 1st time suicide attempters. Traditionally, clinicians and researchers viewed all suicide attempters together regardless of their number of attempts. However, emerging evidence suggests that there are robust differences

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Vikas Menon, Department of Psychiatry, Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry - 605 006, India.

E-mail: drvmenon@gmail.com

Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:		
□}698 80 \$2 86,390	Website: www.ruralneuropractice.com	
	DOI: 10.4103/0976-3147.168435	

between 1st time and multiple suicide attempters.[5] Multiple attempters tend to be single young subjects, [6] with a positive family history of suicide, [7,8] having poor adaptive functioning,[9] poor coping resources,[6] and various psychiatric co-morbidities including schizophrenia, depression, substance use, and personality disorders. [6,7,9,10] Rudd et al. compared three groups: A group of young adult suicide ideators, 1st time suicide attempters, and multiple suicide attempters and they showed that last group had elevated levels of depression, hopelessness, perceived stress, and poor coping.[11] Similar findings were also reported by investigators who studied people with more number of lifetime attempts[12] and adolescents.[13] From a clinical standpoint, characterization of repeat attempters is important as they are at a greater risk

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Menon V, Kattimani S, Sarkar S, Mathan K. How do repeat suicide attempters differ from first timers? An exploratory record based analysis. J Neurosci Rural Pract 2016;7:91-6.

of eventually killing themselves.[14] From a suicide prevention perspective, this group merits closer attention as identifying repeat suicide attempters is a simple, convenient way of risk stratification for management.[9] Notwithstanding these obvious gains, studies trying to delineate repeat attempters are rare from Asian countries. This is important to know as the characteristics of repeat attempters may differ as a function of culture and ethnicity. The present study aims to support the existing literature by trying to identify the clinical and demographic variables that differentiate repeat suicide attempters from 1st time attempters in South India. To remove the possible interaction of risk factors, we also aimed to identify independent predictors of repeat attempts using a regression model.

Methods

Context of the study

The study setting was the outpatient Department of Psychiatry at a teaching cum Tertiary Care Hospital in South India. Baseline evaluation of subjects was done in the crisis intervention clinic (CIC), a specialty clinic run by the Department of Psychiatry since January 2010, offering multimodal care for clients with attempted suicide. The case assessment and management protocol followed in the clinic has been described in detail elsewhere.[15] Patients are referred to CIC from various departments of the hospital after medical stabilization. The duration between the first contact to hospital and interview in CIC ranged between 2 and 7 days. Questionnaire and instruments used in the clinic were based on the literature review and opined to be relevant for the clinic for risk stratification of the suicide attempters and their management. For the purposes of the study, suicide attempt was defined as a self-inflicted, potentially injurious behavior with a nonfatal outcome for which there is evidence (either explicit or implicit) of intent to die.[16]

Data collection

The following instruments are used to collect relevant sociodemographic and clinical data as part of evaluation at the CIC:

- Semi-structured proforma designed to tap the relevant psychosocial and demographic details
- Presumptive Stressful Life Events Scale, a 51-item scale constructed and standardized specifically for the Indian population.^[17] The items, which include both desirable and undesirable stressful events, are quantified with weighted scores. We used the scale primarily as a checklist to elicit the number

- of stressful life events that occurred in last 1-year preceding the suicide attempt
- Beck Hopelessness Scale (BHS) This is a 20-item validated true-false scale used to measure the negative attitudes and expectancies of the patients which reflect hopelessness. It has 9-item keyed false and 11-item keyed true. Each item is scored 0 or 1, and hence, total scores summing all the items can range from 0 to 20.^[18] The total score on the scale were used for analysis. The scale has been previously used by Indian suicide researchers^[19]
- Coping Strategies Inventory (CSI) Short Form. The CSI was originally developed as a 78-item instrument to elicit and categorize coping responses to day to day situations. A shortened 16-item version has been developed to reflect the original scale without loss of psychometric properties and yields 4-item sub-scale scores: (a) Problem -focused engagement, (b) problem-focused disengagement, (c) emotion-focused engagement, and (d) emotion-focused disengagement^[20]
- Global Assessment of Functioning Scale This is axis-V of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-4th Edition, which records the clinicians judgment regarding the highest level of adaptive functioning, in the areas of social relations, occupational functioning, and use of leisure time, demonstrated by the individual during the past 1-year.^[21] The scale has a score range of 1–100. Higher the score, better the adaptive functioning.

All questionnaires were administered by postgraduate residents in the Department of Psychiatry, who were given training on the use of these instruments. Psychiatric diagnoses were made through clinical interviewing and finalized after discussion with the consultant-in-charge of the clinic (SK). International Classification of Diseases-10, classification of mental, and behavioral disorders: Clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines were followed in making diagnoses.^[22]

The department maintains a comprehensive database of all patients who have availed of services in the CIC since its inception. For this research, we scrutinized the clinical charts of 439 patients who had registered themselves from the inception of the clinic till July 2014. They were categorized into two groups – 1st time and multiple attempters and were compared on various sociodemographic and clinical parameters.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20 (IBM Corp, TX, USA). An initial univariate analysis comparing

the 1st time and multiple suicide attempters was done using Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous data or Chi-square test for discrete variables. The strength of these comparisons was measured with nonparametric p for skewed distribution continuous data and Cramer's V for discrete data, the higher value of which suggests a better effect size of the association. The p̂ was computed as Mann-Whitney U/ $(n_A nB)$, where nA and n_B were the sample sizes of the two groups. The variables which showed at least a trend level significance in this univariate analysis (P < 0.10) were entered into the backward logistic regression model to identify predictors of multiple attempters. Backward logistic model was used as it minimizes the number of variables by using a limited number of co-variates. All statistical analysis was done for two-tailed significance and the statistical level of significance (P value) was set at 0.05 for univariate and final multivariate model.

Results

A total of 439 patients were registered in the CIC during the study period. Of them, a suicidal attempt could be established in 423 patients (96.4 of the sample). Sixty-one (14.4%) were repeat attempters while remaining 362 (85.6%) were first ever attempters. The differences in demographic characteristics of 1st time attempters and repeat suicide attempters are shown in Table 1. The two groups did not differ significantly across any of the demographic variables.

The methods and the reasons of suicidal attempt are depicted in Table 2. Overall, use of pesticide was the most common method for a suicide attempt. Interpersonal relationship issues were the most common reason of suicide attempt. A larger proportion of repeat suicide attempters had given hint prior to a suicide attempt. Otherwise, the two groups did not significantly differ between each other on the attempt being made under intoxication, having a family history of suicide, having a physical illness, visit to a health professional in past 3 months, or substance use. Adjustment disorder was the most common clinical diagnosis in both the groups.

The number of stressors [Table 3] reported by the two groups did not significantly differ. Furthermore, the coping sub-scale scores, inpatient stay durations and functioning in the past 1-year scores did not significantly differ between the groups. Significant differences were noted in the BHS scores, with repeat attempters having greater levels of hopelessness.

Logistic regression analysis was conducted to find the independent predictors of repeat attempts. Those variables which showed at least a trend level significance on univariate analysis (P < 0.10) were entered into backward Wald regression. It was seen that higher BHS score was the only variable that independently predicted repeat suicide attempts (P = 0.020) [Table 4]. Each point increase in BHS scores was associated with increase in the chance of making a repeat suicide attempt by 6.4%

Table 1: Comparison of sociodemographic factors between 1st time and repeat attempters

Variable	Repeat attempters (n=61)	1 st time attempters (<i>n</i> =362)	Comparison (<i>P</i>)	Cramer V/p̂†
Age	27.1 (±9.4)	27.1 (±10.1)	10,745.5 (0.738)	0.487
Gender				
Male	31 (50.8)	196 (54.1)	0.232 (0.630)	0.023
Female	30 (49.2)	166 (45.9)		
Marital status				
Currently married	33 (54.1)	182 (50.3)	0.305 (0.581)	0.027
Currently not married	28 (45.9)	180 (49.7)		
Education [‡]				
Up to 10 th	40 (65.6)	241 (67.3)	0.072 (0.789)	0.013
Above 10 th	21 (34.4)	180 (32.7)		
Employment status‡				
Employed	35 (58.3)	185 (52.9)	0.618 (0.432)	0.039
Not employed	25 (41.7)	165 (47.1)		
Family type				
Nuclear [‡]	42 (72.4)	247 (69.8)	0.166 (0.684)	0.020
Others	16 (27.6)	107 (30.2)		
Residence				
Rural [‡]	55 (93.2)	320 (89.4)	0.822 (0.486)	0.044
Urban	4 (6.8)	38 (10.6)		

All values expressed as mean (±SD) or frequency (percentage). *Cramer V computed for nominal data, p computed for skewed continuous data, *Missing data present, all comparisons done using Mann-Whitney U-test or Chi-square test. SD: Standard deviation

Table 2: Comparison of attempt characteristics between 1st time and repeat attempters

Variable	Repeat attempters (n=61)	1 st time attempters (<i>n</i> =362)	Comparison (P)	Cramer V
Method of suicide attempt				
Pesticide	35 (57.4)	222 (61.5)	0.535 (0.970)	0.036
Plant poison	14 (23.0)	78 (21.6)		
Prescription drug	5 (8.2)	28 (7.8)		
Physical methods	4 (6.6)	20 (5.5)		
Others	3 (4.9)	13 (3.6)		
Reason of suicide attempt				
IPR issues	42 (68.9)	220 (60.8)	11.381 (0.077)	0.164
Physical illness	1 (1.6)	49 (13.5)		
Financial issues	7 (11.5)	30 (8.3)		
Love related	7 (11.5)	25 (6.9)		
Psychiatric disorder	3 (4.9)	17 (4.7)		
Academic issues	0 (0.0)	15 (4.1)		
Others	1 (1.6)	6 (1.7)		
Attempt under intoxication	6 (9.8)	48 (13.3)	0.029 (0.590)	0.036
Family history of suicide	12 (19.7)	65 (18.0)	0.103 (0.748)	0.015
Physical illnesses	9 (14.8)	79 (21.8)	1.583 (0.208)	0.061
Visit to a health professional in last 3 months	11 (18.0)	67 (18.5)	0.008 (0.929)	0.005
Any substance use	26 (42.6)	153 (42.3)	0.003 (0.956)	0.000
Hint given prior to attempt	14 (23.0)	46 (12.7)	4.500 (0.034)*	0.103
Psychiatric disorder				
Adjustment disorder	19 (31.1)	133 (36.7)	6.418 (0.268)	0.057
Substance use disorder	4 (6.6)	40 (11.0)		
Mood spectrum disorder	2 (3.3)	13 (3.6)		
Psychotic disorder	1 (1.6)	8 (2.2)		
Others	2 (3.3)	2 (0.6)		
Nil	33 (54.1)	166 (45.9)		

All values expressed as frequency (%). Comparisons done using Chi-square test. *P<0.05. IPR: Inter personal relationship

Table 3: Comparison of clinical variables between groups

Variable	Repeat attempters (n=61)	1 st time attempters (<i>n</i> =362)	Comparison (P)	p̂
Number of stressors recollected	3.4 (±2.1)	3.0 (±2.2)	8812.5 (0.161)	0.399
Coping scale sub-scores				
Problem focused engagement	12.1 (±3.7)	11.6 (±4.0)	8690.0 (0.399)	0.394
Problem focused disengagement	12 (±2.4)	12.2 (±3.5)	8312.5 (0.288)	0.376
Emotion focused engagement	10.6 (±2.4)	10.9 (±2.3)	8531.0 (0.277)	0.386
Emotion focused disengagement	10.1 (±2.9)	10.4 (±3.0)	8879.5 (0.569)	0.402
Inpatient stay duration (days)	5.1 (±2.7)	5.5 (±3.5)	8779.0 (0.625)	0.398
GAF	74.9 (±18.0)	79.0(±15.3)	8851.5 (0.081)	0.401
BHS score	4.7 (±5.2)	3.2 (±4.1)	7325.5 (0.023)*	0.332

All values expressed as mean (±SD). Comparisons done using Mann-Whitney U-test. *P<0.05. GAF: Global assessment of functioning, SD: Standard deviation, BHS: Beck Hopelessness Scale

Table 4: Regression analysis for predictors of repeat attempters

Variable	В	P	Cls of B
BHS	1.064	0.020*	1.010-1.115

^{*}P<0.05. Cls: Confidence intervals, BHS: Beck Hopelessness Scale

(95% confidence intervals [CIs] of 1.0% to 11.5%) (odds ratio - 1.064, 95% CIs of 1.010–1.115). The Nagelkerke R^2 of the model was 0.23 and the correct classification rate was 85.9%.

Discussion

The present hospital record based study tried to compare the sociodemographic and clinical characteristics between 1^{st} time (n=362) and repeat (n=61) suicide attempters. We found that the two groups did not differ on any of the demographic characteristics. This is broadly similar to previous reports from India^[10] and the West^[9,23] and suggests that the demographics of repeat attempters

tend to remain uniform across various ethno-cultural settings. Only a few studies have reported a female preponderance among multiple attempters.^[24]

Repeat attempters did not differ from single attempters when compared on the preferred mode and reasons for the current attempt. This is consistent with prior reports that failed to show any incremental lethality with subsequent attempts among multiple lifetime attempters. [25] Further, no differences were found on their health care seeking patterns as both the groups had sought the help of a health-care professional in the preceding 3 months. However, we noted that repeat attempters were more likely to have dropped verbal or other hints prior to their attempts. This raises important questions about the availability of a window period for intervention in this group that may reduce the possibility of suicidal acts and is worth exploring further.

No significant differences emerged between 1st time and repeat suicide attempters in terms of psychiatric morbidity, coping styles, levels of stress, and overall functioning. Our findings differ with the conclusions drawn by Forman *et al.* who showed that multiple suicide attempters displayed poorer problem solving skills and experienced greater relationship difficulties.^[9] A 2 years follow-up study showed higher rates of major depression among repeat attempters.^[10] However, a recent report extending the follow-up to 10 years did not suggest any differences in rates of axis-I co-morbidity between 1st time and multiple attempters similar to our findings.^[26]

The major finding of the present study was that levels of hopelessness distinguished 1st time attempters from repeat attempters. Similar findings have been noted earlier by Rudd et al. though their sample composed of only young adults enlisted for military duty.[11] Increased concurrent expression of depression and hopelessness has been consistently reported among multiple attempters by previous investigators who compared this group with first ever attempters. [6,9,10] According to many classic, as well as recent papers, depression, and hopelessness are closely linked and hopelessness mediates the relationship between depression and intent in attempted suicide. [27-30] However, few studies have shown an association of hopelessness with repeat attempts, independent of depression as we have done. Our findings are probably of clinical and theoretical relevance because it tells us that hopelessness could be an independent predictor of multiple suicide attempts even in the absence of clinical depression. We propose an amalgamation of the strain theory of suicide[31] with Beck's theory of modes^[32] which may provide a generic cognitive model for multiple suicidal behaviors. The strain theory postulates that psychological strain, brought on by contradictory and competing pressures in an individual's life, precedes suicidal behavior.[33] As this strain gets too overwhelming, his or her coping repertoire may get exhausted, and hopelessness may occur prior to the contemplation of suicide. Over a period of time, this organization of schemas relevant to negative expectancies, memories, and self-evaluations, referred to as modes by Beck,[34] may get established and prone to activation by minimal triggers. [32,35] To sum up, the suicidal mode preceded by cognitive hopelessness becomes easily accessible to the individual when it is repeatedly engaged in and requires minimal triggering stimuli for its subsequent activation as it is fresh in memory. A closer evaluation of the relationship between hopelessness and multiple suicide attempts through prospectively designed studies may help to further our theoretical understanding of how hopelessness may be causally related to attempt status or vice versa.

Our findings need to be interpreted in the context of certain limitations. This was a record based study, and hence, carries some design limitations including recall bias. The findings are drawn from a single Tertiary Care Center, and hence, needs wider replication for generalizability. No structured instrument was used to assess psychiatric co-morbidity. Furthermore, the two groups (repeat suicide attempters and first timers) were unbalanced in terms of the distribution of the number of cases, necessitating use of nonparametric tests. The strengths of this study include studying a well-defined sample of suicide attempters using internationally accepted nomenclature and comparison of 1st time and repeat attempters on a broad set of variables to generate information. For the same reason, there was no admixture of parasuicides in our sample, otherwise a limitation in many studies on suicide. We also included certain novel variables such as health care seeking patterns not often seen in the literature. The measures used in this study are popular and validated across cultural settings, and hence, our results can be meaningfully when compared with global findings.

To conclude, the presence of hopelessness, irrespective of depression, may be a clinically useful marker to identify individuals at risk for making repeat suicidal attempts. This finding may have special significance for low and middle income countries where, consistently, lower rates of axis-I co-morbidity have been documented among suicide attempters^[36,37] as opposed to data from developed nations. Other factors such as age, gender, stress, coping, and functioning did not distinguish these groups. Based on this, we propose that repeat suicide attempters as a group are different, at least in terms of

cognitive schemas and may need different management approaches including case conceptualizations and treatment interventions. Hence, clinicians need to pay enhanced attention to the evaluation of hopelessness during a routine evaluation of attempted suicide. Further research questions that may be best addressed through longitudinal data in this group include whether and how hopelessness is causally related to the attempt status. The relationship of hopelessness with other factors that may predict multiple attempts also needs to be explored in order to come up with a coherent theoretical model for multiple suicidal attempts.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- DeLeo D, Bertolote J, Lester D. Self-Directed Violence. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2002.
- Vijayakumar L. Indian research on suicide. Indian J Psychiatry 2010;52 Suppl 1:S291-6.
- Diekstra RF. The epidemiology of suicide and parasuicide. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 1993;371:9-20.
- Menon V. Suicide risk assessment and formulation: An update. Asian J Psychiatr 2013;6:430-5.
- Pagura J, Cox BJ, Sareen J, Enns MW. Factors associated with multiple versus single episode suicide attempts in the 1990-1992 and 2001-2003 United States national comorbidity surveys. J Nerv Ment Dis 2008;196:806-13.
- Choi KH, Wang SM, Yeon B, Suh SY, Oh Y, Lee HK, et al. Risk and protective factors predicting multiple suicide attempts. Psychiatry Res 2013;210:957-61.
- van Aalst JA, Shotts SD, Vitsky JL, Bass SM, Miller RS, Meador KG, et al. Long-term follow-up of unsuccessful violent suicide attempts: Risk factors for subsequent attempts. J Trauma 1992;33:457-64.
- Lizardi D, Sher L, Sullivan GM, Stanley B, Burke A, Oquendo MA. Association between familial suicidal behavior and frequency of attempts among depressed suicide attempters. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2009;119:406-10.
- Forman EM, Berk MS, Henriques GR, Brown GK, Beck AT. History of multiple suicide attempts as a behavioral marker of severe psychopathology. Am J Psychiatry 2004;161:437-43.
- Chandrasekaran R, Gnanaselane J. Predictors of repeat suicidal attempts after first-ever attempt: A two-year follow-up study. Hong Kong J Psychiatry 2008;18:131-5.
- Rudd MD, Joiner T, Rajab MH. Relationships among suicide ideators, attempters, and multiple attempters in a young-adult sample. J Abnorm Psychol 1996;105:541-50.
- Reynolds P, Eaton P. Multiple attempters of suicide presenting at an emergency department. Can J Psychiatry 1986;31:328-30.
- Stein D, Apter A, Ratzoni G, Har-Even D, Avidan G. Association between multiple suicide attempts and negative affects in adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1998;37:488-94.
- Harris EC, Barraclough B. Suicide as an outcome for mental disorders. A meta-analysis. Br J Psychiatry 1997;170:205-28.
- Kattimani S, Sarkar S, Rajkumar RP, Menon V. Stressful life events, hopelessness, and coping strategies among impulsive suicide attempters. J

- Neurosci Rural Pract 2015;6:171-6.
- Silverman MM, Berman AL, Sanddal ND, O'carroll PW, Joiner TE. Rebuilding the tower of Babel: A revised nomenclature for the study of suicide and suicidal behaviors. Part 2: Suicide-related ideations, communications, and behaviors. Suicide Life Threat Behav 2007;37:264-77.
- Singh G, Kaur D, Kaur H. Presumptive stressful life events scale (PSLES)

 A new stressful life events scale for use in India. Indian J Psychiatry 1984;26:107-14.
- Beck AT, Weissman A, Lester D, Trexler L. The measurement of pessimism: The hopelessness scale. J Consult Clin Psychol 1974;42:861-5.
- Menon V, Kattimani S, Shrivastava MK, Thazath HK. Clinical and socio-demographic correlates of suicidal intent among young adults: A study from South India. Crisis 2013;34:282-8.
- Addison CC, Campbell-Jenkins BW, Sarpong DF, Kibler J, Singh M, Dubbert P, et al. Psychometric evaluation of a Coping Strategies Inventory Short-Form (CSI-SF) in the Jackson Heart Study cohort. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2007;4:289-95.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- World Health Organization. The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1992.
- Kochanski-Ruscio KM, Carreno-Ponce JT, DeYoung K, Grammer G, Ghahramanlou-Holloway M. Diagnostic and psychosocial differences in psychiatrically hospitalized military service members with single versus multiple suicide attempts. Compr Psychiatry 2014;55:450-6.
- Brauns ML, Berzewski H. Follow-up study of patients with attempted suicide. Int J Soc Psychiatry 1988;34:285-91.
- Oquendo MA, Carballo JJ, Rajouria N, Currier D, Tin A, Merville J, et al. Are high-lethality suicide attempters with bipolar disorder a distinct phenotype? Arch Suicide Res 2009;13:247-56.
- Boisseau CL, Yen S, Markowitz JC, Grilo CM, Sanislow CA, Shea MT, et al. Individuals with single versus multiple suicide attempts over 10 years of prospective follow-up. Compr Psychiatry 2013;54:238-42.
- Dyer JA, Kreitman N. Hopelessness, depression and suicidal intent in parasuicide. Br J Psychiatry 1984;144:127-33.
- Minkoff K, Bergman E, Beck AT, Beck R. Hopelessness, depression, and attempted suicide. Am J Psychiatry 1973;130:455-9.
- Salter D, Platt S. Suicidal intent, hopelessness and depression in a parasuicide population: The influence of social desirability and elapsed time. Br J Clin Psychol 1990;29 (Pt 4):361-71.
- Zhang J, Li Z. The association between depression and suicide when hopelessness is controlled for. Compr Psychiatry 2013;54:790-6.
- Zhang J, Wieczorek WF, Conwell Y, Tu XM. Psychological strains and youth suicide in rural China. Soc Sci Med 2011;72:2003-10.
- Beck AT, Haigh EA. Advances in cognitive theory and therapy: The generic cognitive model. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 2014;10:1-24.
- Zhang J, Lester D. Psychological tensions found in suicide notes: A test for the strain theory of suicide. Arch Suicide Res 2008;12:67-73.
- Beck AT. Beyond belief: A theory of modes, personality, and psychopathology. In: Salkovskis PM, editor. Frontiers of Cognitive Therapy. New York, US: Guilford Press; 1996. p. 1-25.
- Joiner TE Jr, Rudd MD. Intensity and duration of suicidal crises vary as a function of previous suicide attempts and negative life events. J Consult Clin Psychol 2000;68:909-16.
- Bi B, Tong J, Liu L, Wei S, Li H, Hou J, et al. Comparison of patients with and without mental disorders treated for suicide attempts in the emergency departments of four general hospitals in Shenyang, China. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2010;32:549-55.
- Vishnuvardhan G, Saddichha S. Psychiatric comorbidity and gender differences among suicide attempters in Bangalore, India. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2012;34:410-4.
- Beautrais AL, Joyce PR, Mulder RT, Fergusson DM, Deavoll BJ, Nightingale SK. Prevalence and comorbidity of mental disorders in persons making serious suicide attempts: A case-control study. Am J Psychiatry 1996;153:1009-14.